

HARYANA RAJ BHAVAN

572

The 14th February, 1973

No. 643-HRB-73/952.—In exercise of the powers conferred on him by sub-clause (vi) of Clause 4 of the First Statute as contained in Schedule I of the Kurukshetra University Act, 1956, the Kulapati (Chancellor) of the Kurukshetra University is pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri S.K. Dutta, as Up-Kulapati (Vice-Chancellor) of Kurukshetra University for a further period of three years on the same terms and conditions detailed in Chancellor's order dated the 2nd February, 1970, as modified from time to time.

L. M. GOYAL,
Secretary to Governor and
Chancellor, Kurukshetra University.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 9th February, 1973

No. 1145-4 Lab-73/4858.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award¹ of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Shri Nav Durga Rolling Mills, Bahadurgarh :—

**BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK**

Reference No. 234 of 1972

between

**SHRI SADHU SINGH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SHRI NAV DURGA ROLLING
MILLS, BAHADURGARH**

Present :

Shri Rajinder Singh for the workman.
Nemo for the management.

AWARD

The following dispute between the management of M/s Shri Nav Durga Rolling Mills, Bahadurgarh and its employee Shri Sadhu Singh was referred for adjudication to this court by order No. ID/RK/204-A-72/40652-56, dated 23rd November, 1972, of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Sadhu Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

Usual notices were given to the parties. The workman concerned has not come forward to file his statement of claim. His authorised representative Shri Rajinder Singh has made a statement that he has gone to his native place in Punjab and his whereabouts are not known. He has further expressed his ignorance as to whether the concerned workman has not been gainfully employed anywhere. He has also expressed his inability to file the statement of claim and to produce evidence in support of the same for want of necessary instructions from the workman concerned. In the circumstances, the reference can not proceed and a no-dispute award is, therefore, given. There shall be no order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Dated 31st January, 1973.

No. 337, dated 31st January, 1973.

* Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.